OVERALL EFFICIENCY IN ELECTRIC ROAD VEHICLES

Abstract: This paper discusses the efficiency of an electric car and a conventional car. At the time when many car manufacturers are developing electric car prototypes, it is of great importance to investigate their efficiency. The paper presents an efficiency analysis of main components in an electric car. Energy consumption is obtained by performing drive cycle energy consumption simulation, which does not consider losses in the vehicle and by using declared consumption data where losses are considered. These two data sets have been used to calculate overall efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

At the time of a growing demand for electric vehicles [1], their overall efficiency should be considered. From the customer’s point of view, it is desirable to get as much distance traveled for the least amount of energy. Therefore, a more efficient car results in direct energy cost savings to the user. Currently, a substantial part of the market is occupied by conventional cars of low efficiency, but there is a growing tendency of regulatory agencies to decrease CO\(_2\) emission what brings electric cars into focus. This paper discusses the overall efficiency of electric cars since it is usually desirable to have components with highest possible efficiency for the long term.

According to the EPA test procedure, conventional vehicle consumption is declared in miles per gallon (MPG), whereas electric vehicle consumption is declared in miles per gallon equivalent (MPGe). The consumption is measured in laboratory conditions and it includes a mix of different tests. In [2] the whole measurement process is described in detail. The declared consumption considers electric energy taken from the electric outlet and energy of petrol stored in the reservoir.

Main disadvantages of electric vehicles are insufficient range and unavailability of charger network. In [3], [4] authors suggest methods for range extension which would make an electric car competitive with a conventional car.

The efficiency of a car can be calculated by taking into consideration cumulative effect of each component efficiency [5] but also it can be calculated by considering a consumption obtained from the simulation of the ideal vehicle model and a declared consumption.

EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

Electric car efficiency analysis

Electric car analysis starts from the place where a customer pays for the electrical energy. According to Figure 1, it is an electric outlet. Electrical energy flows from the public grid to the charger, then to the battery pack and from the battery pack to the drive and to the auxiliary system.

Figure 1. Energy flow in an electric car.

Total losses \( P_{\text{loss}} \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = P_{\text{loss, ch}} + P_{\text{loss, bat}} + P_{\text{loss, inv}} + P_{\text{loss, mot}} + P_{\text{loss, gbx}} + P_{\text{loss, conv}} + P_{\text{aux}}
\]

The total efficiency \( \eta_{\text{tot.}} \) of the system is:

\[
\eta_{\text{tot.}} = \frac{P_{\text{wheels}}}{P_{\text{wheels}} + P_{\text{loss}}}
\]

The auxiliary system consumption is in this case considered as loss.

Charger

SAE 2011 standard defines three levels of AC and DC charging stations. Each level presents a power level for charging [6]. AC charging stations supply power to On – board chargers, which consists of a rectifier and DC-DC converter, while DC charging stations use Off – board chargers, meaning that they directly supply the battery with DC power.

According to [7], the efficiency of the new generation charger in Chevrolet Bolt electric car reaches 93% at lower voltage and 95% at higher voltage. Advanced
Battery pack
A battery pack in an electric car provides energy for the vehicle movement. The efficiency of the battery pack can be calculated as the ratio of the energy available for discharging and the energy used to charge the battery pack. Losses in the battery pack are caused by ohmic losses due to the internal resistance of the battery. Consequently, higher charging or discharging current leads to higher losses and lower efficiency.

Virtanen et al. in [10] claim an efficiency of more than 90% for 30 LiFePO4 cells connected in series, whereas at lower current the efficiency is approximately 94%. Zhang et al. in [11] also approved those results. Likewise, in [11] it is shown that lower current leads to higher efficiency. Vitols in [12] showed that an efficiency of the passively balanced battery pack is roughly 94%, however the author used charge and discharge current of 0.25C which leads to lower losses.

Inverter
The inverter is used to efficiently control the electric motor. When DC voltage is applied to the input there is a sinusoidal voltage of arbitrary frequency on the output. Heat dissipation in an inverter is caused by switching and conducting losses. Switching losses are increased by increasing the switching frequency and conducting losses are increased by increasing the current.

According to Oak Ridge National Laboratory analysis, peak inverter efficiency is about 97% but the efficiency at lower speed is 80% - 90% [13]. By using advanced switches (SiC) efficiency can be increased to 98% [14].

Electric motor
The maximum speed of an electric motor in electric vehicles is usually greater than 10000 rpm to achieve high power to weight ratio. That is why a single speed gearbox is used. Motor peak efficiency can reach 97% in peak efficiency operating point. However, a car is moving through a large set of operating points and make a calculation of overall efficiency hard to perform. An efficiency map is a possible solution but proprietary manufacturer data is hard or almost impossible to find.

Losses in the electric motor are divided into three groups:
- Copper losses
- Iron losses
- Mechanical losses

Copper losses incur due to current flow through the conductor, iron losses arise from eddy current losses and hysteresis losses while mechanical losses are caused by friction and ventilation. The motor efficiency can be enhanced by using materials with lower specific losses.

By analyzing data from car manufacturers, it is determined that peak efficiencies of electric motors are between 95% and 97%.

Gearbox
Electric cars usually have gearboxes with only one gear. A special feature of the electric motor is the ability to use full rated torque even for speed near 0 rpm. It is an advantage compared to internal combustion engines which need few transmission levels. By using only one transmission level, the complexity is decreased while the overall efficiency is increased.

Electric car manufacturer Rimac Automobili claims that the efficiency of single speed gearbox is greater than 97% while two-speed gearbox efficiency is greater than 95%. Moreover, manufacturer BorgWarner Inc. also states that the efficiency of single speed gearbox is 97%.

DC - DC converter
A DC-DC converter in an electric car is used to supply auxiliary systems (lights, infotainment system, electronic systems etc.). It uses energy from the main battery pack. Moreover, an auxiliary deep cycle lead battery is used to power loads when the car is turned off.

In [15] peak efficiency of the converter is roughly 96%. A DC-DC converter in [16] reaches slightly higher efficiency. Zhong Nie et al. in [17] suggest a converter with the efficiency of 82% - 92% for the power in the range 10% - 100% of the rated power.

By using peak efficiency data from the analysis the total calculated efficiency of an electric car is 78%.

Conventional car efficiency analysis
Conventional car analysis starts from the petrol station. The energy chain includes a reservoir, internal combustion engine, gearbox, alternator and auxiliary system as it is depicted in Figure 2.

According to [18] the efficiency of internal combustion engine is between 28% and 32%. Likewise, the same source claims that the overall efficiency of the conventional car is between 14% and 30%. On the other hand, an author in [19] claims that the efficiency of conventional motors is less than 20%.

Figure 2. Energy flow in a conventional car.

From the mentioned numbers for conventional vehicles and those obtained from electric car analysis for one

1 Data is retrieved from the following manufacturers: Rimac Automobili, General Motors Company and Nissan Motor Corporation
operating point, it is clear that electric car is more efficient.

**VEHICLE CONSUMPTION SIMULATION**

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) defines speed profile for urban (Figure 3) and highway driving (Figure 4).

![Figure 3. EPA urban driving cycle (FTP)](image)

![Figure 4. EPA highway driving cycle (HWFET)](image)

Performed tests characteristics are given in Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Highway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum speed, m/s</td>
<td>25.35</td>
<td>26.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average speed, m/s</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>18.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance, km</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, s</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EPA test procedure for testing highway and city driving uses a 5 cycle method which includes various cycles (FTP75, FTP20, US06, SC03 and HWFET). Each cycle represents a specific operating scenario for the vehicle:
- FTP20 represents a city cycle at ambient temperature of -6.7 °C
- FTP75 represents a city cycle at ambient temperature of 23.9 °C
- HWFET represents highway cycle
- US06 represents a drive cycle with high speed and acceleration
- SC03 represents a city cycle with air condition load.

The fuel economy rating is given by combining those 5 cycles. The actual ratio of individual samples for calculating the fuel economy is different for each vehicle.

The fuel economy rating is measured using emission and consumption test of a wide variety of cycles. It is also necessary to note that simulation of a 5-cycle test is very complicated and impractical because a temperature model of ICE and EV drivetrain should be used for FTP20 and FTP75 tests, as well as cold start consumption estimation and vehicle A/C consumption should be known. That is why MPG based estimation of 5 cycle test from 2 cycle test is used. The expression used for 2 cycle to 5 cycle fuel economy conversion in urban driving cycle is \[ FE_{5\text{C\_city}} = \frac{1}{0.003259 + \frac{1.18053}{FE_{FTP}}} \] (3)

and for highway driving cycle is \[ FE_{5\text{C\_highway}} = \frac{1}{0.001376 + \frac{1.3466}{FE_{HWFET}}} \] (4)

where \( FE \) represents fuel economy measured in miles per gallon (MPG).

The main idea for calculating vehicle efficiency is to simulate fuel consumption for an ideal powertrain with vehicle drag parameters and compare the results with actual EPA fuel economy data.

This article presents energy consumption simulation of a conventional car manufactured by Mercedes – Benz as well as an electric car manufactured by Tesla Motors. Car parameters used in the simulation are shown in Table. It is important to note that a mass of the driver is added to the mass of a vehicle.

The simulation is used to determine energy consumption of vehicles. Losses of vehicle components are neglected in the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Mercedes CLA250</th>
<th>Tesla Model S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass, kg</td>
<td>1485 + 75</td>
<td>2000 + 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_r^2 )</td>
<td>9.6·10^{-3}</td>
<td>7.15·10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_d^3 )</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal area, ( m^2 )</td>
<td>2.167</td>
<td>2.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag area, ( m^2 )</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^2 \) rolling resistance coefficient
\( ^3 \) drag coefficient
Distance traveled is calculated according to the following expression:

\[ s = \int v \cdot dt \]  

(5)

and speed is obtained from the given speed curve which is defined by EPA.

Totally consumed energy for the given speed curve is calculated in the following manner:

\[ E = \int P_{tot} \cdot dt, \]  

(6)

where \( P_{tot} \) represents a total power of the vehicle during constant speed operation or acceleration.

The total power is consumed on:

- Rolling resistance losses
- Air resistance losses
- Vehicle acceleration

Rolling resistance coefficients are obtained from the declared energy class for tires used in Tesla Model S and Mercedes CLA250. Rolling resistance coefficients for different energy classes are given in [20].

After the calculation of total consumed energy in kWh, it is necessary to calculate the energy in gallons according to the following expression derived from EPA:

\[ E_{gallon} = \frac{E_{kWh}}{33.7}. \]  

(7)

Afterwards, that data is used to calculate the efficiency of the electric car:

\[ \eta = \frac{FE_{declared}}{FE_{sim.}} \times 100\%. \]  

(8)

RESULTS

According to presented data in Table, it can be noted that the electric car consumes less energy than the conventional car. If efficiencies of these two cars are compared the electric car is approximately 2.5 times more efficient. From that point of view, the electric car is a better choice for the consumer.

By comparing simulation results for two cars one can note that the conventional car does not provide a possibility to return energy back in the system like an electric car. There is an area in Figure 7 and Figure 8 where consumed energy decreases due to regenerative braking. For the conventional car, the flat area only exists which means that energy is neither consumed nor returned in the car.

Table 3. Results obtained from the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mercedes CLA250</th>
<th>Tesla Model S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (^4)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5C DC(^5)</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td>119.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^4\) in kWh
\(^5\) 5 Cycle Calculated Consumption in miles per gallon
\(^6\) 5 Cycle Declared Consumption in miles per gallon

Conventional cars are able to run auxiliary systems and A/C with regenerative energy.
CONCLUSION

This paper presents a comparison between a conventional car and an electric car efficiency. By separating an electric car in components and by analyzing each component individually, it has been determined that each component in the chain has an efficiency greater than 80% but overall efficiency of the vehicle is roughly 70%. Results obtained for the conventional vehicle suggest that it is approximately 2.5 times less efficient than an electric car. Data provided in the analysis are based on peak efficiency, but a vehicle moves through many operating points, thus a component efficiency is constantly changing. The future work should focus on direct measurement of components efficiency as well as a development of methods that will correctly assess their overall efficiency.
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Figure 8. Energy consumption of Tesla Model S in highway driving cycle.
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